

From: NRC
Sent: Wednesday, 22 July 2020 8:13 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Water plan submission

From: Phil Shand [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 20 July 2020 6:20 PM
To: NRC <NRC@nrc.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: Re: Water plan submission

I'm sorry if my submission was in bits. I wrote it on my phone and didn't want to go into too much personal detail so I deleted half of what I wrote. Simply put the Richmond river was given a health rating of 'F'. If A is healthy 'F' is a fail+. Does the commission need more? On all aspects the state has failed to meet its responsibility to manage our waterways. That was the point of my original submission. As a water user I have no faith in the controlling body and I'm immersed in seething disrespect for those whom think spending taxes on a commission will help resolve any of the issues raised. Submit what you wish as I'm sure my correspondence will be ignored.

Respectfully Phil Shand

From: Phil Shand [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 16 July 2020 6:52 PM
To: NRC <NRC@nrc.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: Water plan submission

I reply to your correspondence with a question. Why do you want my opinion? Because I've expressed a lack of confidence in the current management of the 'resource'?

The Richmond river health measured in 2019 gave it an "f". ("a" being healthy). Is there a need to expand on that? The Nthn Rivers are an embarrassment. My water licence has been an expensive waste as my upstream neighbors ignore rules, broad spray with glyphosate, and treat the creek as an agricultural drain. Further up the owners enlarged an in stream dam. Downstream they pump all night to water lawn why? Poor waterway management was to blame. In my calculation there are about 60 really negligent farms responsible for 50% of the catchment degradation. This is mainly ignorance and opportunism on the part of poor land custodians. Holding information days (farm tea parties) is a waste of money. Direct intervention would be far more cost effective, would require a smaller office and an only slightly larger frontline. But that would require an attitude shift as to the value of our waterways. The council has jurisdiction to enforce the length of your pasture but have no jurisdiction, if a farmer willfully pollutes waterways? The state govt have shown they can't run a shit fight in a septic tank why trust the govt to care for a precious resource? If you've read this far, thank you for your time. Do you think a Commission

This email may be confidential and/or privileged. Only the intended recipient may access or use it. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this email and notify us promptly. While we use virus scanning software, we exclude all liability for viruses and similar malicious code. Any views expressed in this email are those of the author, except where the sender specifically states them to be the views of the Natural Resources Commission.
